SEED PRODUCTIVITY OF ALFALFA VARIETIES DEPENDING ON THE CONDITIONS OF HUMIDIFICATION AND GROWTH REGULATORS IN THE SOUTHERN STEPPE OF UKRAINE

Andrii TYSHCHENKO¹, Olena TYSHCHENKO¹, Olena PILIARSKA¹, Iryna BILIAIEVA¹, Halyna KUTS¹, Pavlo LYKHOVYD¹, Nataliya HALCHENKO²

¹Institute of Irrigated Agriculture of NAAS, Naddniprianske, 73483 Kherson, Ukraine, Phone/Fax: 0552361196/0552362440; Email: izz.marketing@ukr.net, office@izznaan.com.ua, izz.biblio@ukr.net, inb95@ukr.net, izz.ua@ukr.net, pavel.likhovid@gmail.com

²Askanian State Agricultural Research Station of the Institute of Irrigated Agriculture, 40richcha Peremohy Street, vil. Tavrychanka, 74862 Kherson, Ukraine, Phone/Fax: 0553691145; Email: askaniyskoe@gmail.com.

Corresponding author: pavel.likhovid@gmail.com

Abstract

The aim of the study was to determine the effect of humidification conditions (drip irrigation and no irrigation) and growth regulators (Agrostimulin, Garth, Lucis, Emistim C) on the seed productivity of alfalfa varieties Unitro and Zoryana. The study was carried out during 2012-2015 at the experimental field of the Institute of Irrigated Agriculture of NAAS on the dark-chestnut soil in the conditions of the Steppe zone. The yield of alfalfa seeds in the conditions of natural humidification was (by the years of life) 154; 471; 235 kg/ha, and drip irrigation increased them to 207; 640; 538 kg/ha. Application of the growth regulators favored for the increase in the seed yield: 161-171; 479-492; 245-256 kg/ha without irrigation, and 217-230; 653-668; 559-583 kg/ha at drip irrigation. The highest yield of 175; 497; 261 kg/ha and 236; 674; 594 kg/ha was obtained in the variants with Garth growth regulator application. Drip irrigation increased the root mass from 1.61 to 2.03 t/ha. The preparations stimulated the root mass accumulation, mostly at drip irrigation, by the years of the crop life: Agrostimulin – 2.46; 5.36; 6.78 t/ha, Lucis – 2.50; 5.61; 7.05, Emistim C – 2.42; 5.28; 6.72 and Garth with the maximum indices – 2.53; 5.73; 7.25 t/ha. Atmospheric nitrogen fixation increased from the first to the second year of the crop life, and further decreased to the third year of the herbage life. Strong correlation ties between the seed productivity and root mass accumulation (r= 0.932-0.984), atmospheric nitrogen fixation (r= 0.990-0.996) were determined.

Key words: alfalfa, variety, seed productivity, root mass, atmospheric nitrogen fixation, natural humidification, drip irrigation, growth regulators

INTRODUCTION

Alfalfa is the most wide-spread forage crop in the world. The crop is posed as one for solving the problem of plant protein in the forage for cattle. However, the practical value of alfalfa is not limited to its fodder qualities. It also performs other important functions: agrotechnical, biological, agroecological. Alfalfa enriches the soil with nitrogen, accumulates a large amount of post-harvest residues, root mass, improves soil structure, reduces the effects of water and wind erosion, is a good fore-crop for many crops. The lack of sufficient seed material, due to low seed yield, does not allow to expand the sown areas of this valuable crop. Therefore, appropriate technologies are needed, the main elements of which would contribute to the normal growth and development of plants.

The most efficient factors of the influence on the yield of alfalfa seeds are irrigation (drip, sprinkling, surface) and the use of growth regulators [2, 36]. The advantages of drip conventional irrigation over irrigation methods are well known for a long time, and due to high economic efficiency and environmental safety, it is widely used in irrigation of crops. Drip irrigation helps to increase crop yields due to strict control and maintenance of optimal soil moisture throughout the growing season while reducing irrigation rates and reducing the cost of

irrigation water per unit of yield. Studies have shown that the production of alfalfa seeds at drip irrigation required half less irrigation water [17], while the seed yield was by 20-25% higher than at conventional methods of irrigation [26]. But the main advantage of drip irrigation use on the seed crops is the possibility of more precise control of soil moisture at the necessary interphase periods. At the same time, higher doses of mineral fertilizers are used to increase yields, but they lead to environmental pollution and, in the end - to the deterioration of plant products quality. Taking into account significant increase in the cost of basic resources, depletion of natural resources, it is necessary to reduce the use of mineral fertilizers and at the same time increase the use of microbiological preparations, plant growth regulators, micronutrients [4, 27]. In the conditions of climate change, with increasing food shortages, it is necessary to ensure agricultural production. sustainable the support of which is possible with the widespread use of biostimulants. Therefore, in most developed countries, biological methods of agriculture are intensively developed and mastered, based on the reduction or abandonment of synthetic fertilizers and chemical plant protection products with maximum use of biological factors of the increase in soil fertility, disease, pest and weed control, and implementation of a set of other measures that do not have an adverse effect on the environment, but improve the conditions of crop formation [3, 27]. For example, according to the European Council of the Biostimulator Industry (EBIC), more than 6.2 million hectares were treated with

than 6.2 million hectares were treated with biostimulants in Europe in 2012, with an overall annual growth rate of 12.5% between 2013 and 2018 [5, 7], and by 2026 the market of biostimulants is estimated to be about 5 billion US dollars.

The use of plant growth regulators is an efficient element of energy-saving agricultural technologies, which contributes to the creation of appropriate conditions for growth and development of plants of different crops and is an important reserve for the

improvement in productivity and quality of agricultural products for human health insurance, animals, useful fauna of agrocenoses safety, are the most economical and do not require additional material resources [1, 9, 12, 32, 35].

In its turn, growth regulators have a positive effect on plant life processes, stimulate seed germination, photosynthesis, transport of substances, formation processes, resistance to abiotic stresses (lack of moisture, high and low temperatures) [10,20,23,29]. Today, their application is one of the important and prospective areas of management of the production process of crops that regulate the growth and development of plants [15]. Biostimulants increase the resistance of crops to adverse weather conditions and to their damage by pests and diseases. In general, under their influence, the genetic potential of plants created by nature and breeding work is more fully opened, and at the same time they play as important role as the use of mineral fertilizers [21]. The high efficiency of these preparations is due to the content of a balanced complex of biologically active substances, which accelerates the growth of vegetative mass and root system, and therefore more intensive use of nutrients, increase the resistance of plants to diseases, stresses and adverse weather conditions. This allows to reduce the use of pesticides by 20-30% without reducing the protective effect [34].

Analysis of the literature showed that in the nearest future stimulants will be no less important in agricultural production than mineral fertilizers. In this regard, the search for new forms of effective growth regulators and optimal ways of their use is a relevant problem in the crop's cultivation technology, and alfalfa for seed purposes is not an exception.

The aim of the study was to identify the effect of different growth regulators on seed productivity of alfalfa varieties, root mass accumulation and fixation of atmospheric nitrogen under different conditions of humidification.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted during 2012-2015 at the research field of the Institute of Irrigated Agriculture of NAAS. In terms of soil and climate, it is in the Steppe zone (Kherson oblast, the South of Ukraine), around the Ingulets irrigated array.

The field experiment was carried out by the method of split plots. The main areas (factor A) – humidification conditions (without irrigation and drip irrigation); sub-plots (factor B) – alfalfa varieties (Unitro and Zorvana); sub-subplots (factor C) – foliar treatment in the interphase period "beginning of flowering - massive flowering" with growth regulators: 1 - control 1 (without treatment); 2 - control 2 (water treatment); 3- Agrostimulin; 4 - Garth; 5 - Lucis and 6 -Emistim C. The crop was sown in the early spring by the wide-row method with a row spacing of 70 cm. The area of a sowing plot – 60 m^2 , accounting -50 m^2 . The study was conducted in four replications.

Agrostimulin is a plant growth regulator. The preparation is represented by a balanced composition of a complex of growth substances of natural origin (extract of endophytic mycorrhizal fungi) and a synthetic phytohormones analogue of _ 2.6dimethylpyridine-1-oxide (N-oxidedimethylpyridine – Ivin), 26 g/L + Emistim C -1 g/L. The preparation combines the physiological activity of its components auxin activity of Ivin and cytokinin activity of Emistim C. It is a transparent colorless aqueous-alcoholic solution [11].

Garth is a plant growth regulator, aqueous solution of a mixture of preparations Triman (a crystalline powder of light pink or gray color ($C_6H_6NOMnCl_2$ – aqua-N-oxide-2-methylpyridine manganese (II) chloride) – 500 g/L) and Tetran (white crystalline powder ($C_{12}H_{14}N_2O_2ZnCl_2$ – bis-N-oxide-2-methylpyridine zinc (II) chloride) – 500 g/L) in the ratio of components 1:1.

Lucis is a plant growth regulator. The preparation is a white crystalline powder. Active substance: 2,6 dimethylpyridine-1-oxide with succinic acid, 990 g/kg and

ammonium molybdate, 1.0 g/kg. It is recommended for application on alfalfa and clover.

Emistim C is a highly efficient plant growth regulator of natural origin with a wide range of action – a product of biotechnological cultivation of fungi-epiphytes from the root system of sea buckthorn and ginseng, obtained on the basis of metabolites of endomycorrhizal fungi. It contains a balanced set of regulators of auxin, cytokinin nature and amino acids, carbohydrates, fatty acids, microelements [11].

Treatment with growth regulators was carried out with a knapsack sprayer in the phase of plant development "beginning of flowering": Agrostimulin and Emistim C at a rate of 10 ml/ha, Garth – 50 ml/ha and Lucis – 10 g/ha.

Watering was carried out by drip irrigation with the laying of drip tape in each row. The estimated root-containing layer of soil was taken according to the interphase periods: "seedling-stalking" - 0.3 m, "stalkingbudding" - 0.5 m, "budding-ripening of seeds" - 0.7 m. Soil moisture in the interphase period "seedlings-beginning of flowering" was maintained at 70-75% FC (field capacity) and from the interphase period "beginning of flowering-ripening of seeds" we reduced it to 50-55% FC.

The study of root distribution was performed by the method of washing, which allowed to determine their weight and percentage distribution (after harvesting) by the soil layers for every 10 cm [30]. Nitrogen fixation was determined by the balance method [22].

Statistical processing of yield data was performed by the method of analysis of variance according to V.O. Ushkarenko et al. [33].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The obtained experimental data by the years of life indicate a different reaction of alfalfa varieties on the seed productivity to the studied factors: humidification and growth stimulants. It should be mentioned that the seed yield is maximized from the first year of the herbage life to the second, and it remains high in the third, regardless of humidification conditions. So, in particular at irrigation, seed productivity by Unitro and Zoryana varieties averaged to 203 and 212 kg/ha (1st year), 643; 649 (2nd year), 555; 559 kg/ha (3rd year) against the variant without irrigation, respectively, 152; 158; 463; 473; 239 and 243 kg/ha (Table 1).

Table 1. Alfalfa seed yield by the years of life depending on irrigation, variety, and application of growth regulators (average for 2012-2015)

Image of the second s	iions	Variety (factor B)		Years of life			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Humidification condi (factor A)		Application of plant growth regulators (factor C)	first	second	third	
understand control 2 (water treatment) 146 452 229 Agrostimulin 149 460 236 Agrostimulin 162 477 251 Lucis 158 472 247 Emistim C 154 465 242 Average 152 463 239 control 2 (water treatment) 154 471 235 Agrostimulin 161 479 245 Garth 175 497 261 Lucis 171 492 256 Emistim C 166 485 251 Average 164 483 247 Average 166 485 251 Average 164 483 247 Average 164 483 247 Average 200 641 530 Control 1 (no treatment) 191 628 531 Agrostimulin 207 640 538			control 1 (no treatment)	145	451	227	
Nome Agrostimulin 149 460 236 Garth 162 477 251 Lucis 158 472 247 Emistim C 154 465 242 Average 152 463 239 control (no treatment) 154 471 235 control 2 (water treatment) 154 471 235 Garth 175 497 261 Lucis 171 492 256 Emistim C 166 485 251 Average 164 483 247 Average 164 483 247 Average 164 483 247 Average 164 483 247 Average 200 641 539 Garth 217 661 584 Lucis 217 661 584 Lucis 207 643 555 Garth 236 6			control 2 (water treatment)	146	452	229	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		0	Agrostimulin	149	460	236	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		nitr	Garth	162	477	251	
Emistin C 154 465 242 Average 152 463 239 Control 1 (no treatment) 154 471 235 Control 2 (water treatment) 154 471 235 Agrostimulin 161 479 245 Garth 171 492 256 Emistin C 166 4485 251 Average 164 483 247 Average 191 628 530 Control 1 (no treatment) 193 628 531 Agrostimulin 200 641 549 Garth 212 655 573 Emistim C 207 6445 562 Agrostimulin 217 653 559 Garth 2		n	Lucis	158	472	247	
Note Average 152 463 239 0 control 1 (no treatment) 154 471 235 control 2 (water treatment) 154 471 235 Agrostimulin 161 479 245 Garth 175 497 261 Lucis 171 492 256 Emistim C 166 485 251 Average 164 483 247 Average 158 473 243 control 1 (no treatment) 191 628 530 control 2 (water treatment) 193 628 531 Agrostimulin 217 661 584 Lucis 212 655 573 Emistim C 203 643 555 Garth 217 661 584 Lucis 210 643 555 Garth 217 6643 559 Garth 217 663 572	Ę		Emistim C	154	465	242	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	atio		Average	152	463	239	
Ye control 2 (water treatment) 154 471 235 Agrostimulin 161 479 245 Garth 175 497 261 Lucis 171 492 256 Ensitm C 166 485 251 Average 164 483 247 Average 164 483 243 Control 1 (no treatment) 191 628 530 control 2 (water treatment) 193 628 531 Control 2 (water treatment) 193 628 531 Agrostimulin 200 641 549 Garth 217 661 584 Lucis 212 655 573 Emistim C 207 640 538 control 2 (water treatment) 207 640 538 control 2 (water treatment) 208 641 538 Agrostimulin 217 653 559 Garth 230 668	nig:		control 1 (no treatment)	154	471	235	
Y Agrostimulin 161 479 245 Garh 175 497 261 Lucis 171 492 256 Emistim C 166 485 221 Average 164 483 247 Average 191 628 530 control 1 (no treatment) 193 628 531 Control 2 (water treatment) 200 641 549 Garth 217 661 584 Lucis 207 645 562 Average 203 643 555 control 1 (no treatment) 207 645 552 Control 2 (water treatment) 208 641 538 Control 2 (water treatment) 208 641 538 <tr< td=""><td>.i.</td><td></td><td>control 2 (water treatment)</td><td>154</td><td>471</td><td>235</td></tr<>	.i.		control 2 (water treatment)	154	471	235	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ž	na	Agrostimulin	161	479	245	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		yaı	Garth	175	497	261	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		ZOI	Lucis	171	492	256	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Emistim C	166	485	251	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Average	164	483	247	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Average		158	473	243	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			control 1 (no treatment)	191	628	530	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			control 2 (water treatment)	193	628	531	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		0	Agrostimulin	200	641	549	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		litr	Garth	217	661	584	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Ū	Lucis	212	655	573	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ц		Emistim C	207	645	562	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline 10 & $	atic		Average	203	643	555	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	60	Coryana	control 1 (no treatment)	207	640	538	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	p ir		control 2 (water treatment)	208	641	538	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Dri		Agrostimulin	200	653	559	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-		Garth	236	674	594	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Lucis	230	668	583	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Emistim C	224	658	572	
Average 22.0 300 501 Partial differences estimation LSD ₀₅ A 22.9 22.5 27.5 LSD ₀₅ B 3.6 4.0 1.6 LSD ₀₅ C 2.5 2.2 3.2 Main effects differences estimation LSD ₀₅ A 7.3 7.1 8.7 LSD ₀₅ B 1.1 1.3 0.5 LSD ₀₅ C 1.2 1.1 1.6			Average	220	656	564	
Partial differences estimation 22.9 22.5 27.5 LSD ₀₅ A 22.9 22.5 27.5 LSD ₀₅ B 3.6 4.0 1.6 LSD ₀₅ C 2.5 2.2 3.2 Main effects differences estimation 1.1 1.3 0.5 LSD ₀₅ B 1.1 1.3 0.5 LSD ₀₅ C 1.2 1.1 1.6		Average		212	649	559	
LSD ₀₅ A 22.9 22.5 27.5 LSD ₀₅ B 3.6 4.0 1.6 LSD ₀₅ C 2.5 2.2 3.2 Main effects differences estimation ISD ₀₅ A 7.3 7.1 8.7 LSD ₀₅ B 1.1 1.3 0.5 LSD ₀₅ C 1.2 1.1 1.6	Partial differences estimation						
LSD ₀₅ B 3.6 4.0 1.6 LSD ₀₅ C 2.5 2.2 3.2 Main effects differences estimation Main effects differences estimation 7.3 7.1 8.7 LSD ₀₅ B 1.1 1.3 0.5 LSD ₀₅ C 1.2 1.1 1.6	LSDor		A	22.9	22.5	27.5	
LSD ₀₅ C 2.5 2.2 3.2 Main effects differences estimation LSD ₀₅ A 7.3 7.1 8.7 LSD ₀₅ B 1.1 1.3 0.5 LSD ₀₅ C 1.2 1.1 1.6	LSD05	B		3.6	4.0	1.6	
Main effects differences estimation 213 212 312 LSD ₀₅ A 7.3 7.1 8.7 LSD ₀₅ B 1.1 1.3 0.5 LSD ₀₅ C 1.2 1.1 1.6	LSDos				2.2	3.2	
LSD ₀₅ A 7.3 7.1 8.7 LSD ₀₅ B 1.1 1.3 0.5 LSD ₀₅ C 1.2 1.1 1.6	20203	I	Main effects differences estimation	2.0		<i></i>	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LSDor		A	7.3	7.1	8.7	
LSD_{05} C 1.2 1.1 1.6	LSD ₀₅	B		1.1	1.3	0.5	
	LSD ₀₅	<u> </u>		1.2	1.1	1.6	

Source: Own study.

Analysis of the data shows that a significant impact on seed yields is made by irrigation and the application of growth stimulants that improve the plants growth and development, which generally has a positive effect on the formation of their generative bodies and, consequently, seed yield. Treatment of crops with growth regulators Agrostimulin, Lucis, Emistim C increased the yield of alfalfa seeds, compared to the control, by 1.2-11.7% (without irrigation) and by 2.1-13.6% (with irrigation) in the varieties Unitro, and 1.6-13.6% and 2.0-14.0% — in the variety Zoryana, respectively.

This is confirmed by the studies with the application of stimulants Agrostimulin and

Emistim C on other crops, which increased the yield of peas by 5.4-11.0%, soybeans - by 7.0-11.0, winter wheat - by 15.0-20.0%, clover seeds, alfalfa up to 23.0% [6,16,19]. Having used the preparation Garth a positive effect was also recorded, the increase in yield of spring wheat grain was 0.53 t/ha, of corn -0.77 t/ha, of soybeans - 0.58 t/ha. In our studies, the highest efficiency was determined at the use of the preparation Garth, for three years of the herbage life, regardless on humidification conditions. This ensured the highest yield of alfalfa seeds in the conditions of natural humidification - 162; 175 and 477; 497 and 251; 261 kg/ha against the yield on the control, respectively, 146; 452; 229 and 154; 471; 235 kg/ha. Drip irrigation and treatment of alfalfa herbage with this growth regulator provided the maximum seed productivity for Unitro and Zoryana varieties: 217; 236 and 661; 674 and 584; 594 kg/ha, respectively, at the yield on the control: 193; 208 and 628; 641; 584 and 594 kg/ha. It is noteworthy that in the conditions of natural humidification, the tested stimulants provided a significant increase in the seed yield

compared to the control. This indicates an important aspect of their action, namely, enhancement of the crop resistance to adverse environmental factors, lack of moisture. Further, we have analysed the relationships between alfalfa seed productivity and root mass accumulation and nitrogen fixation, taking into account the importance of alfalfa as a fore-crop. Moreover, it is referred that there is a strong relationship between green mass productivity and root mass in alfalfa and other crops [14,24,28]. Our study has shown that there are close links between the seed vield and root mass accumulation and nitrogen fixation. In particular, the correlation coefficient between the seed yield and root mass accumulation in the conditions of natural humidification in Unitro variety was r = 0.973, and in Zoryana variety r = 0.958, at drip irrigation the correlation coefficients were higher in Unitro variety -r = 0.984 than in Zorvana variety -r = 0.932 (Fig. 1).

The amount of accumulated root mass of the alfalfa varieties tended to increase from the first year of life to the second and third years of the crop life (Table 2).



Fig. 1. Polynomial trend line of the dependence between the seed yield and root mass accumulation in the studied alfalfa varieties in the second year (average for 2012-2014) Source: Own study.

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 20, Issue 4, 2020

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

Table 2. Accumulation of the air-dry alfalfa root mass by the years of life depending on irrigation, variety and application of growth regulators, t/ha (average for 2012-2015)

on or A)	Variety (factor B)		Years of life		
Humidificati conditions (fact		Application of plant growth regulators (factor C)	fürst	second	third
		control 1 (no treatment)	1.55	2.89	3.44
		control 2 (water treatment)	1.57	2.92	3.46
	<u>o</u>	Agrostimulin	1.88	3.08	3.57
	nitr	Garth	2.01	3.26	3.70
E E	Ũ	Lucis	1.99	3.23	3.66
ti		Emistim C	1.82	3.05	3.53
iga		Average	1.80	3.07	3.56
.1		control 1 (no treatment)	1.61	3.04	3.50
out		control 2 (water treatment)	1.62	3.07	3.51
Tith	na	Agrostimulin	1.96	3.17	3.62
ы	rya	Garth	2.01	3.35	3.76
	Zoi	Lucis	1.99	3.31	3.71
		Emistim C	1.93	3.14	3.56
		Average	1.85	3.18	3.61
	Average	· · · · · ·	1,83	3.13	3.59
		control 1 (no treatment)	1.95	4.93	6.42
		control 2 (water treatment)	1.95	4.94	6.44
	.0	Agrostimulin	2.33	5.26	6.75
	nitr	Garth	2.54	5.52	7.13
	ñ	Lucis	2.51	5.47	6.97
uo		Emistim C	2.27	5.21	6.61
gati		Average	2.26	5.22	6.72
ini	na	control 1 (no treatment)	2.03	5.21	6.55
pi.		control 2 (water treatment)	2.04	5.22	6.56
Dii		Agrostimulin	2.46	5.36	6.78
	rya	Garth	2.53	5.73	7.25
	Zo	Lucis	2.50	5.61	7.05
		Emistim C	2.42	5.28	6.72
		Average	2.33	5.40	6.82
	Average	· · · · · ·	2,29	5.31	6.77
Partial differences estimation					
LSD ₀₅	A			0.20	0.12
LSD ₀₅	В		0.030	0.41	0.22
LSD ₀₅	C		0.016	0.08	0.09
Main effects differences estimation					
LSD ₀₅		Α	0.001	0.06	0.04
LSD ₀₅	В		0.009	0.13	0.07
LSD ₀₅		С	0.008	0.04	0.05

Source: Own study.

Analyzing the obtained experimental data, it should be mentioned that the studied growing conditions and agricultural practices had a significant impact on the degree of development of the root system. Thus, in the conditions of natural moisture supply, the accumulation of root mass ranged within 1.55 and 1.61 t/ha, drip irrigation increased the trait to 1.95 and 2.03 t/ha in the varieties Unitro and Zoryana, respectively. Agrostimulin, Lucis, Emistim C and Garth had a stimulating effect on the root system mass. The most positive effect of growth regulators was obtained at drip irrigation. Their use contributed to the accumulation of the root mass over the years of life: Agrostimulin -2.46; 5.36; 6.78 t/ha, Lucis - 2.50; 5.61; 7.05, Emistim C - 2.42; 5.28; 6.72 and Garth -2.53; 5.73; and 7.25 t/ha in the variety Zoryana. As the above data testify, the use of the preparation Garth was particularly effective and ensured the maximum accumulation of the root mass. The same regulation is reported by Sheliuto et al., who recorded that the use of growth regulators increased up to 10% the size of root mass of legumes and root supply of plants [25].

Alfalfa, due to its biological property – nitrogen fixation, fixes nitrogen from the atmosphere and is an active storage of

nitrogen in the soil with the increase in this process in the second year of life. According to Tikhonovich et al., the efficiency of symbiosis increases from the 1st to the 3rd year of alfalfa cultivation [31]. But its level depends on the variety, namely, on the varietal characteristics of the location and development of the root system of the plants, growing conditions. It is possible to create favourable conditions for symbiotic nitrogen influencing bean-rhizobia fixation bv symbiosis with growth regulators [8,12,13]. Growth stimulating substances activate microbiological processes in the area of the root system, significantly affect the symbiosis, which is manifested in the participation of these substances in the inoculation process, the genesis of nodules, regulation of nitrogen fixation activity [18, 37].

Determination of the atmospheric nitrogen fixation showed that it also varies depending on growing conditions and years of the herbage life. Thus, its increase took place from the first to the second year of life. However, in the third year, the reaction in both varieties was different. So, without irrigation nitrogen fixation on the control from 131.94 kg/ha in the second year, decreased to 123.45 kg/ha in the third, in the conditions of drip irrigation there was a slight increase - from 193.86 to 200.84 kg/ha, respectively. The same was also observed with the use of growth stimulants (Table 3).

Table 3. Fixation of the atmospheric nitrogen depending on irrigation, application of growth regulators and alfalfa variety by the years of life (average for 2012-2015)

litions	3)		Years of life			
Humidification conc (factor A)	Variety (factor]	Application of plant growth regulators (factor C)	first	second	third	
		control 1 (no treatment)	69.53	131.94	123.45	
		control 2 (water treatment)	70.05	133.12	123.89	
	0	Agrostimulin	76.81	139.20	133.07	
	nit	Garth	86.62	148.72	145.07	
a a	ũ	Lucis	86.07	144.60	139.65	
tio		Emistim C	75.06	136.94	130.15	
183		Average	77.36	139.09	134.28	
.E		control 1 (no treatment)	76.75	138.31	128.43	
out		control 2 (water treatment)	78.14	139.27	129.04	
'ith	na	Agrostimulin	87.11	148.55	136.97	
5	(yaı	Garth	95.06	161.72	155.78	
	Zoi	Lucis	92.98	156.99	148.08	
		Emistim C	84.64	147.32	133.19	
		Average	85.78	148.69	139.69	
	Average		85,78	143.86	136.98	
		control 1 (no treatment)	118.51	193.86	200.84	
	litro	control 2 (water treatment)	119.31	195.16	213.39	
		Agrostimulin	139.54	206.04	213.81	
		Garth	149.72	219.01	227.33	
	ñ	Lucis	144.91	210.60	220.51	
uc	yana	Emistim C	141.12	202.32	211.43	
atio		Average	135.52	204.50	214.70	
10 10		control 1 (no treatment)	122.46	200.40	208.21	
p i		control 2 (water treatment)	122.96	200.97	208,96	
Dii		Agrostimulin	147.72	212.84	218.17	
		Garth	154.43	222.54	232,25	
	Zoi	Lucis	149.83	217.79	226,23	
		Emistim C	144.73	210.15	212,99	
		Average	140.36	210.78	219,57	
	Average		137,94	207.64	217.14	
Partial differences estimation						
LSD ₀₅	Α		0.867	26.02	0.849	
LSD ₀₅		В		9.84	6.563	
LSD ₀₅	С		0.708	2.63	3.609	
Main effects differences estimation						
LSD ₀₅	А			8.23	0.268	
LSD ₀₅	В		0.144	3.11	2.076	
LSD ₀₅	С		0.354	1.31	1.804	

Source: Own study.

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 20, Issue 4, 2020 PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

Analysis of the data, presented in the Table 3, indicates a positive effect of the studied growth regulators on the rate of fixation of atmospheric nitrogen under different humidification conditions. The use of Agrostimulin, Lucis and Emistim C helps to increase the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen in comparison with the control. In particular, accumulated atmospheric nitrogen: they without irrigation, in the first year 76.81; 86.07; 75.06 kg/ha, in the second year -139.2; 144.6; 136.94 kg/ha and in the third year - 133.07; 139.65; 130.15 kg/ha against 69.53; 131.94; 123.43 kg/ha at the control. At drip irrigation, this figure was sufficiently higher and averaged to 139.54 in the first year; 144.91; 141.12, in the second year -

206.04; 210.60; 202.32 and in the third year – 213.39; 220.51; 211.43 kg/ha, at the control – 118.51; 193.86; 13.98 kg/ha, respectively.

The highest efficiency was at the application of the preparation Garth. It provided the maximum fixation of atmospheric nitrogen: 95.06; 161.72; 155.78 kg/ha without irrigation and 149.72; 219.01; 227.33 kg/ha in the irrigation conditions.

The study has found out a strong relationship between the seed yield and nitrogen fixation, it was in the variety Unitro at irrigation – correlation coefficient r = 0.965 and without irrigation r = 0.960, and in the variety Zoryana – r = 0.975 and r = 0.975, respectively (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2. Polynomial trend line of the dependence between the seed yield and atmospheric nitrogen fixation in the studied alfalfa varieties in the second year (average for 2012-2014) Source: Own study.

At the increase in seed productivity of the plants, the root mass and nitrogen fixation of the varieties of alfalfa increases. However, the better developed the root system is, i.e. more in size, the stronger the nitrogen-fixing ability of the plants is, which is confirmed by the high correlation coefficient. Thus, under the conditions of natural humidification, the relationship between the accumulation of root mass and the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen in the cultivar Unitro was r = 0.985 and r = 0.993 in the cultivar Zoryana, and under drip irrigation r = 0.971 and r = 0.937, respectively (Fig. 3).

Economic efficiency estimation of the irrigation and growth stimulants application in the cultivation technology of seed alfalfa testifies that they payback (Table 4).



Unitro without irrigation: root mass-nitrogen fixation
Unitro with irrigation: root mass-nitrogen fixation
Zoryana without irrigation: root mass-nitrogen fixation × Zoryana with irrigation: root mass-nitrogen fixation

Fig. 3. Polynomial trend line of the dependence between the root mass accumulation and atmospheric nitrogen fixation in the studied alfalfa varieties in the second year (average for 2012-2014) Source: Own study.

Table 4. Economic evaluation of alfalfa seed	cultivation depending	on humidification	conditions and	application of
growth regulators (average for 2012-2015)				

Variety (factor B)	Application of growth regulators (factor C)	Cost of seeds, EUR	Expenditures per 1 ha, EUR	Conditionally pure profit, EUR.ha	Prime cost of 1 kg of seeds, EUR	Profiabiliy, %
		Without irrigation	n (factor A)			
	control 1 (no treatment)	2,992.73	722.73	2,270.00	0.88	314.1
	control 2 (water treatment)	3,007.27	729.73	2,277.55	0.88	312.1
p	Agrostimulin	3,072.73	737.27	2,335.45	0.87	316.8
nit	Garth	3,236.36	739.27	2,497.09	0.83	337.8
n	Lucis	3,189.09	736.00	2,453.09	0.84	333.3
	Emistim C	3,130.91	738.73	2,392.18	0.86	323.8
	average	3,104.85	733.95	2,370.89	0.86	323.0
	control 1 (no treatment)	3,127.27	722.73	2,404.55	0.84	332.7
	control 2 (water treatment)	3,127.27	729.73	2,397.55	0.85	328.6
ma	Agrostimulin	3,218.18	737.27	2,480.91	0.83	336.5
rya	Garth	3,392.73	739.27	2,653.45	0.79	358.9
Zc	Lucis	3,341.82	736.00	2,605.82	0.80	354.1
	Emistim C	3,280.00	738.73	2,541.27	0.82	344.0
	average	3,247.88	733.95	2,513.92	0.82	342.5
Average		3,176.36	733.95	2,442.41	0.84	332.8
		Drip irrigation (factor A)			
	control 1 (no treatment)	4,905.45	1,220.30	3,685.15	0.91	302.0
	control 2 (water treatment)	4,916.36	1,227.30	3,689.06	0.91	300.6
2	Agrostimulin	5,054.55	1,234.85	3,819.70	0.89	309.3
lii	Garth	5,316.36	1,236.85	4,079.52	0.85	329.8
2	Lucis	5,236.36	1,233.45	4,002.91	0.86	324.5
	Emistim C	5,141.82	1,236.30	3,905.52	0.88	315.9
	average	5,095.15	1,231.51	3,863.64	0.88	313.7
ana	control 1 (no treatment)	5,036.36	1,220.30	3,816.06	0.88	312.7
	control 2 (water treatment)	5,043.64	1,227.30	3,816.33	0.88	311.0
	Agrostimulin	5,196.36	1,234.85	3,961.52	0.86	320.8
ory	Garth	5,469.09	1,236.85	4,232.24	0.82	342.2
Z	Lucis	5,385.45	1,233.45	4,152.00	0.83	336.6
	Emistim C	5,287.27	1,236.30	4,050.97	0.85	327.7
	average	5,236.36	1,231.51	4,004.85	0.86	325.2
Average		5,165.76	1,231.51	3,934.25	0.87	319.5

Source: Own study. Note: the cost of 1 kg of the alfalfa seeds was 3.64 EUR.

Over the years of the study in Unitro and Zoryana varieties of alfalfa, the cost of 1 kg of seeds under the cultivation in the conditions of natural humidification depended on the weather conditions of the year, and averaged 0.86 EUR/kg and 0.82 EUR/kg, to respectively. At drip irrigation, the cost of 1 kg of seeds for the variety Unitro was 0.88 EUR/kg and for Zoryana - 0.86 EUR/kg. The use of growth regulators, regardless the conditions of humidification, reduced the cost of 1 kg of seeds.

The highest pure profit was obtained in the variety Zoryana at drip irrigation and application of the growth regulator Garth – 4,232.24 EUR/ha, while in the variety Unitro this figure was lower and averaged to 4,079.51 EUR/ha. Under the conditions of natural humidification, the highest pure profit from the cultivation of Unitro and Zoryana varieties was also obtained under the application of the growth regulator Garth – 2,497.09 and 2,653.45 EUR/ha, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS

The yield of conditioned seeds of the first, second and third years of life of the varieties of alfalfa depended on the cultivation conditions. Drip irrigation, regardless of the year of its application, favored for a significant increase in the yield. Zoryana variety had an advantage over Unitro variety both under irrigated and non-irrigated conditions. Application of the growth regulators Agrostimulin, Lucis, Emistim C, Garth increased seed vield, root mass the rate of accumulation, atmospheric nitrogen fixation in the alfalfa varieties. The best results by all the traits were obtained under the application of the preparation Garth. Its application is an effective technological measure allowing increase the production of alfalfa seeds, the accumulation of root mass and biological nitrogen in the soil.

REFERENCES

[1]Anishyn, L., 2004, Domestic biologically active preparations ask for the fields of Ukraine. Propozytsiya, 10: 48–50.

[2]Balakai, N.I., Shedrin, V.N., 2003, Peculiarities of seed alfalfa cultivation. Land Reclamation and Water Economy: International Conference Proceedings, 1: 148–151.

[3]Balashov, V.V., Agafonov, A.K., 2013, Effect of growth regulators and fungicides on yield and quality of winter wheat grain in the sub-zone of light-chestnut soils in Volgograd oblast. Plodorodije, 1(70): 28–30.

[4]Buriak, Yu.I., Ohurtsov, Yu.Ye., Chernobab, O.V., Klymenko, I.I., 2014, Development of methods for seed productivity enhancement in cereal crops and sunflower in the laboratory of seed growing and seed science at the Institute pof Plant Science named after Yuriev of NAAS. Visnyk CNZ APV Kharkivskoi oblasti, 17: 77–85.

[5]Calvo, P., Nelson, L., Kloepper, J.W., 2014. Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants. Plant and Soil, 383(1-2): 3–41.

[6]Cheremkha, B.M., 2001, Peculiarities of plant growth regulators and their efficiency. Propozytsiya, 2: 62–63.

[7]Colla, G., Rouphael, Y., 2015, Biostimulants in horticulture. Scientia Horticulturae, 196: 1–2.

[8]Didovich, S.V., Kameneva, I.A., 2004, Introduction of nodule bacteria in microbial cenoses of soil at the cultivation of new species of leguminous plants in the South of Ukraine. Bulletin of State Nikitski Botanical Garden, 89: 38–41.

[9]Dragovoz, I.V., Yavorska, V.K., Antoniuk, V.P., 1998, Creation of growth regulators on the basis of alcohol production wastes. Physiology and Biochemistry of Crops, 30(3): 194–200.

[10]Gamburg, G.Z., Kulajeva, O.I., Muromtsev, G.S., Prusakova, L.D., Chkannikov, D.I., 1979, Plant growth regulators. Kolos, Moscow, 279 p.

[11]Halpern, M., Bar-Tal, A., Ofek, M., Minz, D., Muller, T., Yermiyahu, U., 2015, The use of biostimulants for enhancing nutrient uptake. Advances in Agronomy, 130: 141–174.

[12]Hrytsayenko, Z.M., Ponomarenko, S.P., Karpenko, V.P., Leontiuk, I.B., 2008. Biologically active substances in plant science. Nichlava, Kyiv, 352 p.

[13]Iutinskaya, G.A., Ponomarenko, S.P., 2010, Bioregulation of microbial-plant systems. Nichlava, Kyiv, 464 p.

[14]Liu, L., Zhang, H., Ju, C., Xiong, Y., Bian, J., Zhao, B., Yang, J., 2014, Changes in grain yield and root morphology and physiology of mid-season rice in the Yangtze River Basin of China during the last 60 years. Journal of Agricultural Science (Toronto), 6(7): 1–15.

[15]Muromtsev, G.S., Chkannikov, D.I., Kulajeva, O.I., 1987, Bases of chemical regulation of growth and productivity of plants. Agropromizdat, Moscow, 383 p. [16]Musatov, A.H., Tsaberiabyi, D.I., 2001, Effect of growth stimulants on productivity of spring barley plants, oats, and peas. Collection of Works of Uman DAA, 51: 66–70.

[17]Orloff, S., Carlson, H., 1996, Intermountain alfalfa management. University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. 138 p.

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 20, Issue 4, 2020

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

[18]Patyka, V.P., 2003, Biological nitrogen. Monograph. Svit, Kyiv, 422 p.

[19]Pernak, Yu.L., Medvedieva, L.R., Sukharieva, M.D., 2000, Aspects of cultivating different by ripeness varieties of soybean. Production, Processing and Use of Soybean for Forage and Food: Ukrainian Conference, 23.

[20]Ponomarenko, P.S., 2003, Plant growth regulators. Kyiv, 319 p.

[21]Ponomarenko, S.P., 2008, Growth regulators in plant science – Ukrainian hitch. International Conference Radostim. Biological preparations in Plant Science, 45–48.

[22]Posypanov, G.S., 1991, Methods of studying biological fixation of atmospheric nitrogen. Agropromizdat, Moscow, 300 p.

[23]Pylak, M., Oszust, K., Frąc, M., 2019. Review report on the role of bioproducts, biopreparations, biostimulants and microbial inoculants in organic production of fruit. Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology, 1–20.

[24]Shamanin, V.P., Pototskaya, I.V., Shepelev, S.S., Pozherukova, V.E., Morgounov, A.I., 2018, Root habitus and plant productivity of spring bread wheat synthetic lines in Western Siberia, as connected with breeding for drought tolerance. Agricultural Biology, 53(3): 587–597.

[25]Sheliuto, B.V., Stankevich, S.I., Kukresh, A.S., Kholdejev, S.I., 2005, Application of microbiological preparations and growth regulators at perennial herbs cultivation. Gorki, 145 p.

[26]Shock, C.C., Feibert, E.B., Saunders, L.D., Klauzer, J., 2007, Deficit irrigation for optimum alfalfa seed yield and quality. Agronomy Journal, 99(4): 992–998.

[27]Shubha, K., Mukherjee, A., Kumari, M., Tiwari, K., Meena, V.S., 2017, Bio-stimulants: An Approach Towards the Sustainable Vegetable Production. In Agriculturally Important Microbes for Sustainable Agriculture (pp. 259–277). Springer, Singapore.

[28]Skuodiene, R., Tomchuk, D., 2015, Root mass and root to shoot ratio of different perennial forage plants under western Lithuania climatic conditions. Romanian Agricultural Research, 32: 209–219.

[29]Skwarek M., Nawrocka J., Lasoń-Rydel M., Ławińska K., 2020, Diversity of plant biostimulants in plant growth promotion and stress protection in crop and fibrous plants. FIBRES & TEXTILES in Eastern Europe, 28, 4(142): 34–41.

[30]Stankov, N.Z., 1964, Root system of field crops. Kolos, Moscow, 280 p.

[31]Tikhonovich, I.A., Provorov, N.A., 2010, Ecological and genetical bases of using biodiversity of symbiotic systems to enhance plants productivity in the conditions of ecologically sustainable agriculture. Proceedings of International Agroindustrial Congress Agrorus. Innovations – basis for the development of agroindustrial complex. Lenekspo, St. Petersburg, 38– 61.

[32]Toscano, S., Romano, D., Massa, D., Bulgari, R., Franzoni, G., Ferrante, A., 2018, Biostimulant applications in low input horticultural cultivation systems. Italus Hortus, 25(2): 27–36.

[33]Ushkarenko, V.O., Vozhehova, R.A., Holoborodko, S.P., Kokovikhin, S.V., 2013, Statistical analysis of the results of field experiments in agriculture. Ailant, Kherson, 381 p.

[34]Van Oosten, M.J., Pepe, O., De Pascale, S., Silletti, S., Maggio, A., 2017, The role of biostimulants and bioeffectors as alleviators of abiotic stress in crop plants. Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture, 4(1): 5.

[35]Vasylenko, M.H., Stadnyk, A.P., Dushko, P.M., Draha, M.V., Kichihina, O.O., Zaysarinna, Yu.O., Perets, S.V., 2018, Crop yield and seed quality of agricultural crops under using plants growth regulators. Agroecological Journal, 1: 96–101.

[36]Vlasenko, M.Yu., Kononenko, O.I., Zhuk, T.M., 2003, New growth stimulants on the crops of alfalfa. Ukrainian Black Sea region agrarian science, 3(23): 207–209.

[37]Volkohon, V.V., Salnyk, V.P., 2005, Value of plant growth regulators in the formation of active nitrogenfixing symbioses and associations. Physiology and Biochemistry of Crops, 37(3): 187–197.